

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/510,067	Applicant(s) LINDSLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Emily Bernhardt	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Emily Bernhardt.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Leff.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In amending the claims by cancelling claims 1-3, claim 4 is now independent. Claim 5 dependent thereon recites a particular salt yet salts are not recited in claim 4. It was agreed to insert at the end of the claim 4 "or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof". This will be done via an Examiner's Amendment. Commonly assigned Barnett (WO'473) will be made of record. It is not a competent reference against pending claims.